

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Executive Order 12036 Revisions

FROM:

Chief, Policy and Plans Group
4E-70, Hdqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

Regal 3

DATE

EO 12036

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

- | | | | | | |
|-----|--------|-------------|--|--|--|
| 1. | DD/P&M | 10 APR 1991 | | | <p>The D/Security should be made aware of the attached. Of significance are three points:</p> <p>1. Note the DDCI's statement that the DCI does not wish to add to his authorities.</p> <p>2. The DDCI asked how the DCI would implement proposed 1-x10. This was the section inserted at the request of the IG and it reads: "Develop specific means to protect intelligence sources and methods from unauthorized disclosure." Presumably, the IG will have furnished Mr. Silver with some rationale.</p> <p>3. The proposed change in Section 1-x11 as opposed to current 1-601(i) is an OS reformation of a change proposed by the Deputy Director. The current 1-601(i) reads: "Insure the establishment by the Intelligence Community of common security and access standards for managing and handling foreign intelligence systems information and protection." The DO proposal reads: "Establish minimum security standards and procedures applicable to all individuals and entities having access to foreign intelligence and counterintelligence and intelligence sources and methods." In light of an written bill signed by the DDCI as Acting DCI on another issue, we proposed the following language: "Uniform security standards established by the DCI will govern access to, distribution of, and protection of intelligence</p> |
| 2. | | | | | |
| 3. | DD/SEC | | | | |
| 4. | | | | | |
| 5. | D/SEC | | | | |
| 6. | | | | | |
| 7. | | | | | |
| 8. | | | | | |
| 9. | | | | | |
| 10. | | | | | |
| 11. | | | | | |
| 12. | | | | | |
| 13. | | | | | |
| 14. | | | | | |
| 15. | | | | | |

40/3

STAT

sources and methods, subject to specific statutory requirements applicable to any department or agency." The latest version, to which the DDCI has apparently objected, adopts the substance of our proposal.

The other items do not appear to me to affect security equities.